

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/294,539	MAW SHENQ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638	

**All Participants:**

(1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(2) Matthew Hinsch.

**Status of Application: allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 4 March 2005

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant approved the proposed examiner's amendment*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)